

# Why Re-evaluate Corridor?

- Development in Last 30 Years
- Environmental Law

# 1970 Corridors



# Current Activities

- Drive Corridor
- Identify Bypasses
- Identify Constraints
- Adjust corridors due to development
- Establish Advisory Group
- Traffic Studies
- Gather economic data
- Gather environmental data
- Develop GIS database



# Public Involvement

- Advisory Council
- Individual meetings
- Public Meeting
- Public Hearing
- Newsletters
- News Releases
- Editorial Board Meetings
- Library Repositories
- Project Mailing List

# Timeline

Winter '03

Spring '03

Summer '03

Fall '03

Winter '04

Spring '04

On-Site Corridor Review



336 Coalition Meeting  
Advisory Committee Meeting



Origin & Destination Field Work



Traffic Volume Studies



336 Coalition Meeting  
Advisory Committee Meeting



Public Information Meeting  
Open House Format



Draft Corridor Report IDOT Review



336 Coalition Meeting  
Advisory Committee Meeting



Public Hearing



Prefinal Corridor Report



IDOT Review Final Report





# Next Phase of Study At Least 3 ½ Years

- Location Design Report
- Environmental Impact Statement
- IDOT Recommendation to FHWA

**THANK YOU**

Your involvement in the Peoria to Macomb  
Corridor Study is crucial to meeting your travel  
needs with the fewest impacts

IDOT is committed to hearing your concerns.  
**Illinois Department of Transportation**



Illinois Department  
of Transportation

## Notes from 7/9/03 336 Meeting

- Gave PowerPoint presentation. Had 5x10 aerial display and 3x5 overall corridor display.
- Mark said that they will support any corridor IDOT comes up with.
- Asked if the low costs were expressway and high freeway. A – Not really that simple. No alignments have been developed yet. The ranges reflect likely possibilities.
- Stated that corridor A may be the most appealing if the near west bypass of Canton works out. Corridor A may not be so appealing if the road ends up west of Double T.
- Q- Do we have to try and avoid Double T? It is State land already and should be cheaper to use it rather than buy more. A – DNR land is what is know as 4(f) land. We are not allowed to take it without special studies proving that there are no alternatives to taking the land. The land is not free. We try to avoid taking any 4(f) land.
- Q- Should the 336 Coalition start working with DNR to see if some of the Double T land can go back in private hands? A- No, not yet. We are not even looking at alignments yet. Once alignments are developed, more information will be available on the relationship between the new roadway and Double T.
- Q – Are the public meetings open and can anyone attend any meeting? A – yes.
- Q – Does the Canton airport know about this project? A – Yes, they seem to be in favor of any corridor near the airport that improves roadway access for them. They see economic benefit.
- Discussed corridor schedule and EIS schedule.
- Mark Johann asked if District 4 wanted to have a spot on the agenda for the upcoming 336 rally. Secretary Martin is planning on attending, but 336 thinks District 4 might want a spot, too. A- Mike McLuckie will check with the Secretary and the District and get back to 336.